1

2

3

5

6 7

8

v.

10

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

19

20 21

2223

25

26

24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

RICHARD L. HOUAR,

Defendant.

2:03-cr-00312-LRL

ORDER

Before the court is defendant's Motion for Order of Discharge of Fine and Avoid any Lien (#14), the government's Answer (#18), and defendant's Reply (#19); and the government's Motion to Strike Defendant's Reply or, in the Alternative, Motion for Leave to File Surreply (#23), defendant's Opposition to Motion to Strike Defendant's Reply, and the government's Reply (#27).

What is most striking about defendant's Motion is the absence of a tenable legal theory to support it. Exhibit E of the Motion makes a vague reference to an accord and satisfaction, which the defendant apparently believes has converted a criminal fine into a "civil" matter.

While a criminal fine may be collected using policies and procedures used for the enforcement of a civil judgment, the fine itself remains a criminal penalty. Moreover, accord and satisfaction requires that a good faith dispute regarding the amount owed must exist between the parties for its principles to apply. *U.S. for the Use of Las Vegas Bldg. Materials, Inc. v. Bernadot*, 719 F. Supp. 936, 938–39 (D. Nev. 1989) (citations omitted). The undersigned ordered a fine of \$5,000 and a penalty assessment of \$10. There is no dispute as to the amount owed. Nor was there independent consideration on which to form a new contract. Thus the fine was not terminated by accord and satisfaction. Finally, an accord and satisfaction, like any contract, can be set aside, in whole or in part,

Case 2:03-cr-00312-LRL-LRL Document 28 Filed 01/04/07 Page 2 of 2

for such reasons as mutual mistake, supervening illegality, or frustration of purpose. See Restatement 1 (Second) of Contracts §§ 152, 264, 265. 2 The defendant's Reply, as the government points out, improperly argues new legal 3 theories—none of which is applicable to the case at hand. Accordingly, 4 IT IS ORDERED that defendant's Motion for Order of Discharge of Fine and Avoid any Lien 5 (#14) is DENIED. 6 7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Richard L. Houar shall pay the fine balance in the amount of \$4,962.00 (\$5000,00 minus the \$38.00 garnished from his social security account) not later than January 8 19, 2007. The payment shall be made payable to Clerk, U.S. District Court, and mailed to: 9 Clerk, U.S. District Court 10 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South Room 1334 11 Las Vegas, NV 89101 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon payment of the fine the defendant's social security 13 benefits shall cease to be garnished. 14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the government's Motion to Strike Defendant's Reply or, in 15 the Alternative, Motion for Leave to File Surreply (#23) is GRANTED. The court considered the 16 government's Surreply. 17 DATED this 4th day of January, 2007. 18 19 Mewit 20 LAWRENCE R. LEAVITT 21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 22 23 24 25

26